Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/758,637	GLICK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kaveh Abrishamkar	2131
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Kaveh Abrishamkar</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian Berliner (Reg. No. 34,549)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 July 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Claims 48 and 59		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Applicant was informed that the dependent claims 48 and 59 depend on the incorrect claims, and that an Examiner's Amendment was necessary to pass the case to issue.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·